THE GLOBAL TIMES | MONDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2018

EU

European Union



It's time for a nuclear game

UNSC

United Nations Security Council

The Irony of Fighting A War Of Words







EXECUTIVE BOARD

Chairperson: Moksh Suri Vice Chairperson: Pratham Sharma **Rapporteur:** Aniket Ramesh

> Leela Moza, AIS Noida, XII C & Saanvi Vaish, AIS PV, X B

Proceedings: Centered primarily around the prob

AGENDA

Situation in Korean Peninsula

missile programs, in return of such a treaty. Day 2 saw the introduction of a crisis. A Chinese vessel was observed by spectators on board the US 7th Fleet Warships which was a trade ship, in the **Proceedings:** Amidst banging of the table and in-EEZ of Japan, destined for North Korea. The debate continued amidst some resolutions and disagremeents. While DPRK agreed to hold negotiations with IAEA on renewing inspections for some of their facilities; it at the same



Committee

Awards Galore

The Debate

Germany (AIS Noida) High Commendation Russian Federation (DPS, Mathura Road), Romania, Ireland (AIS Gur 46) pecial Mention Luxembourg (AISN), USA (Modern Barakhamba), UK (AIS S), Netherlands (AIS G 43), Austria (DPS Raipur)



Stares, chaos and much more

The Room That Seemed To Have It All

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Chairperson: Jaideep PV Vice Chairperson: Jhanvi Vig Dy. Vice Chairperson: Yajur Lath Rapporteur: Pia Tripathi

Stuti Kakkar, XI D and Khushi Daryani, XI E **AIS Saket**

AGENDA

The Refugee Crisis

wherein the delegates tried to provide solutions to the raging crisis. Solutions to establish peace such as new technologies in the field of arms and ammunitions including undetectable 3D printed

lems between US and North Korea, that in turn fostered the risk of another cold war, the committee set out to seek world peace. From discussing the events of the past that had led to the current situation to offering probable solutions that could help ensure peace in the future; the committee dealt with the problem afflicting the Korean peninsula in a rather holistic way.

The first day of the committee session delved into several past treaties and their impact were discussed at length. Delegates voiced their opinions on Joint Declaration of North and South Korea pertaining to the denuclearisation of the Korean peninsula. The failure of 6 party talks - a series of multilateral negotiations held intermittently since 2003 and attended by China, Japan, North Korea, Russia, South Korea and the US for the purpose of dismantling North Korea's Nuclear programme, was also debated upon followed by another issue '1953 Korean War Armistice Agreement (KWAA)'. Some delegates were in favour of the agreement as it could help reduce tensions for eternal peace. On the other hand, there were other delegates who believed that North Korea will never end its nuclear weapons and ballistic

time refused to sign a 'dead agreement' like the JCPOA which was signed between USA and Iran.

On the third day, US-DPRK submitted an agreement which stated that US would reduce its domestic sanctions on DPRK and in return they would dismantle two of their nuclear missiles publicly. US agreed to remove all sanctions on them after the successful dismantle. Delegates questioned the agreement by inquiring about actions of the US in case DPRK continued to make nuclear missiles in future, and so the proposal was rejected. While the committee didn't reach on a consensus, it definitely was able to put forth numerous facets of a global issue, otherwise ignored.GI

Dialogue

"DPRK shouldn't talk about trust." - Delegate of Netherlands on her disapproval of the USA and DPRK agreement.

tense discussions, the delegates of this committee set out to discuss the biggest issue for European countries - refugee crisis. As the committee commenced, one could see that the delegates had done their homework as most of them were versed with conventions, directives and inter-

national laws; articles and clauses of EU -Turkey deal, Libya deal with EU, Asylum Protection Directive, Refugee Convention, amongst others. The extensive research that the delegates had carried helped them steer the debate in a fruitful direction.

The topic for the first moderated caucus was 'Protection of Refugees' which aimed at decreasing the death poll and disease transmission in the Mediterranean region. There were in depth discussions about the availability of food, water and protection of refugees. The social and economic integration of refugees was also discussed at length. The moderated caucuses were followed by various backroom negotiations among the countries.

The second day began with discussion focussing on admission of refugees in asylums, security of national and international borders, and social integration of refugees. Several unmoderated caucuses followed

weaponry were discussed.

Day 3 saw the introduction of a crisis, wherein 170 refugees in a plane were hijacked. As the committee struggled to find a solution to the crisis, the delegates were also intent on passing a

> resolution. A draft resolution was submitted, which entailed key proposals like streamlining of security and medical aid for refugees, establishment of national human rights associations and MNCs donating 2% of their profit to help refugees as their Corporate Social Responsibility etc.

> Four draft resolutions were discussed, and each clause was put to great scrutiny by everyone. Even though no draft resolution reached the voting majority, the committee was successful for it encompassed fruitful deliberations characterised by active participation, heated arguments and a sophisticated, diplomatic conduct all the three days.GI

Dialogue

"There is going to be a rat race...*pause* delegates ko nahi bhagna...it is for submitting resolutions." - Executive Board of the committee.

Glossary 1. Refugee Convention: Signed by 145 parties, it outlines legal rights of refugees.



• The unmoderated caucus was nothing but a pandemonium where delegates stood in a circle while chanting 'calm down'. 😕 There was a painstakingly explicit debate on how to debate. The EB repeatedly had to 'politely' ask all the delegates to refrain from giving the *death stare* to each other.



Highlights

tlement.

• Even before the committee had officially begun, the delegates had started a heated debate over the motion that was to be passed, providing the GP with enough content to reach their word limit. 2 The committee swayed between opinions like the Chairperson swayed on the podium, which was quite fun to be a witness of.