



AIPPM

All India Political Parties Meet

GA

General Assembly

The grand drama summit

A Mash Up Of Varying Opinions & Ideas



Awards Galore

Best Delegate
Rajnath Singh (AIS Saket)

High Commendation
Kapil Sibal (AIS PV), Arvind Kejriwal (Modern Barakhamba)

Special Mention
Amit Shah (AIS PV), Manish Sisodia (GD Goenka, VK), Arun Jaitley (AISN)



Awards Galore

Best Delegate
Germany (AISN), China (AIS Gur 4B)

High Commendation
Belgium (AIS PV), Bolivia & Romania (AIS Gur 4B), Syria (AIS Saket)

Special Mention
USA (Modern Barakhamba), UK, Spain (AIS S), France (AIS PV), Cambodia (AIS G 4B), India (GD Goenka), Nigeria (AIS G 4B)



Back, but with a loud bang!

The Masala Movie We Were Waiting For

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Chairperson: Aditya Mohan Sharma
Vice Chairperson: Apoorva Iyer
Scribe: Harman Singh Sachdeva

AGENDA

- Finance Commission Constitution
- Amendments to Citizenship Act

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Chairperson: Drishtant Chakraborty
Vice Chairperson: Sanya Kanwar
Asst Vice Chairperson: Aman Singh
Rapporteur: Apaar Mehta

AGENDA

- Post-Conflict Reconstruction

Maansi Anand, AIS Vas 1, XI; Anushka Ramesh, AIS MV, IX A & Vanya Tandon, AIS Noida, X C

Proceedings: Well researched and finely suited, the novices were ready for the first day, aspiring to make AIMUN'18 a huge and memorable success. Day one witnessed the highly confident and poised delegates debating on their first agenda. Issues like false dichotomy and smaller states not getting their share as allocated in the national budget were brought to the limelight. A deliberation regarding the fund distribution by BJP in the northern as well as the southern Indian states ruled the committee session for a majority of the time on the first day. This is where Article 280 of the Constitution was brought in, which states that within a duration of five years, the President will constitute a body called the Finance Commission¹ (FC). The first day also acted as a warming-up session for the delegates as they went about understanding and learning new procedures, discussing many issues en route. Day two of the committee saw the delegates debate on the second agenda, 'Amendments to Citizenship Act (NRC Issue)'. Well-researched arguments ruled the roost once again. The debate thus began with opening statements, along with a special emphasis on whether India could benefit

from housing illegal immigrants. Delegates spoke eloquently about how citizenship has to be acquired through birth, descent, registration etc. Several other important facets pertaining to the issue, in the likes of naturalisation, incorporating a new territory and commonwealth citizenship were also discussed in much detail. How a person can lose his/her citizenship was another topic that saw a lengthy discussion.

On third and final day of the conference, the committee seemed no less than a Wikipedia page. This day saw a drastic transformation of these delegates from being amateur debaters to complete professionals. The focus of the committee now shifted to the agenda 'Exclusion from NRC with special emphasis on transgenders.' The debates were full of tension as well as information but not devoid of witticisms. The session concluded on a positive note with the delegates, especially those from Chandigarh, expressing their gratitude for this wonderful opportunity to gain information and learn.⁶

Glossary

1. **Finance Commission:** Body which decides how Union and State Govts will share taxes.

Highlights

- 1 K. Chandrashekar Rao sued the Global Press for defaming him by publishing something not yet formally accepted by him!
- 2 The serious atmosphere ensued when Patnaik's motion "To Relax the Norms of Transgenders of NRC" was given a thumbs down. Staging a walkout, he claimed the committee gave "homophobic vibes"!

Dialogues

"Itna keechad mat uchhalo ek doosre par, bhartiya ho, bhartiyan ki tarah raho." - Naveen Patnaik

"Feedback is the breakfast of champions." - Harman Singh Sachdeva

Sehaj Ghuman, AIS Noida, X M; Aditi Banerji, AIS Noida, X D & Ananya Dash, AIS Gur 43, XI A

Proceedings: The General Assembly took the phrase 'bigger and better' quite literally as they returned for their run at AIMUN 2018 with nearly 125 delegates. The suited, booted and all fired up delegates presented the stance of their country through bold statements on various subtopics like 'Role of international community in foreign aid', 'Rebuilding of security in post conflict society', 'Economic growth in post conflict societies' and 'Political and judicial reforms' amongst others. There was a comprehensive discussion and even an unmoderated caucus¹ on USA's intervention in Afghanistan and the Rwanda case study. The question of unemployment and job security was prioritised, as it was the center of attention for most of the countries. Humanitarian relief and political legitimacy were also discussed quite thoroughly, and the delegates acted in accordance with their portfolios. The committee also briefly touched upon transitional issues which could have proved to be quite controversial but were eventually handled with utmost care and respect. But the true climax of the movie came when Russia accused government of USA of being incompetent and China

Glossary

1. **Caucus:** A break during which the countries can discuss any topic informally.

Highlights

- 1 Delegates had lots to say but without enough time, resulting conference staff being bombarded with several 3 sheet long chits and not enough hands.
- 2 The delegates looked smart in their formals, some of them even breaking through the walls of convention and ditching the regular everyday tie to wear one made of glass.

teamed up with Syria. All the other delegates grabbed their popcorns as partnerships revealed themselves. Various international articles, treaties, and UN reports, along with the use of technical terms were thrown around the room. The third day came with another suspenseful climax as few delegates were in a frenzy to find themselves signatories and sponsors for their draft resolutions. The committee was mainly divided into three blocs: USA-Germany bloc, China-Finland bloc, and India-Belgium bloc. Naturally, heated arguments ensued between the blocs, each trying to convince the other of their morality and effectiveness. Each went around the committee, lobbying and eventually garnering support. Each of the blocs' DR was discussed in depth and garnered support, making it even more difficult to vote only for one. The three day discourse witnessed vibrant energy and constructive deliberations and negotiations. The committee was no less than an action-packed movie, every second of which was full of enthusiasm.⁶

Dialogues

"Delegates, would you like to do a clause-by-clause discussion or a clause-by-clause discussion?" - The delegate of USA, referring to the method used for the discussion of the DR.