

HSC

Historical Security Council



BJP-RSS

Bhartiya Janta Party-Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh

Time for a blast from the past

The Insolvable Issue Finally Addressed



Awards Galore

Best Delegate

USA (Modern Barakhamba)

High Commendation

Republic of China (AIS Gur 4B),

USSR (AIS PV)

Special Mention

UK (AIS Saket)



Awards Galore

Best Delegate

Nitin Gadkari (AIS Noida)

High Commendation

Narendra Modi (AIS Gur 4B), Arun

Jaitley (Modern Barakhamba)

Special Mention

Prakash Javadekar (AIS PV), Sarbananda

Sonowal (Modern Barakhamba), Rajnath

Singh (DPS Raipur)



Cancelling the controversy

How To Deal With Differences: Don't

EXECUTIVE BOARD

President: Prithviraj Khanna

Vice President: Sankalp Mishra

Rapporteur: Vansh Chopra

Tanisha Chawla, AIS Saket, XI E & Ananya Grover, AIS Noida, XI B

AGENDA

Resolving Suez Canal conflict

Proceedings: The Historical Security Council, a continuous crisis committee frozen in the distant past of July 26, 1956, took on the daunting controversy of Suez Canal. The question of nationalisation of Suez Canal seemed to be a problem difficult to resolve as delegates spent a whole day trying to draw conclusions and offer suggestions. The committee had a turning point in the discussion when it was presented with the second crisis – the failure of Constantinople Convention. The second day of the journey started amidst pandemonium as a proposal on the 'International Management of the Suez Canal' was thrown at the delegates by the Australian Prime Minister Robert Menzies. Countries accepted and rejected the various terms of this proposal in accordance with their foreign policy, putting up their own opinions and proposals for deliberation. While USA and UK supported Egypt, China argued that it had no right to nationalise a canal that didn't belong to it. By the final day, the delegates had begun to form alliances and finalise their grounds. Taking everyone by surprise, Egypt took a U-turn on its stance, retracting the agreement it had made previously to recognise the Suez Canal as an international water-

Glossary

1. *Fedayeen: Military groups that are willing to sacrifice themselves.*

Highlights

- 1. Daubing the words of the delegate of France, the EB asked Italy to check if France's laptop was indeed updating (or not?).
- 2. Multiple backroom negotiations – the Chairperson and some delegates having confidential discussions outside the committee, leaving non-participants in suspense.

way. The committee was an unending array of crisis thrown one after another. The final crisis dealt with was Israel invading the Sinai Peninsula, and Gaza Strip, claiming the presence of Fedayeen¹ training camps, funded and armed by Egypt. Israel alleged that the Fedayeen are conducting terrorist activities against its citizens and this could wipe out their face off from the Earth.

Delegates came up with various tactics to resolve this crisis, including establishing peace talks between Israel and Egypt, deploying a UN Peace-keeping Operation to maintain calm in the region, and rescuing citizens at the Israel-Egypt border from a prospective war-zone.

While the committee was unable to reach a conclusion or pass a resolution, countries issued their own Presidential statements and proposed plans of action. To conclude the Suez Canal dispute, Egypt allied with the USSR and issued a joint statement which recognised Egypt's sovereignty and control over the Canal.

The committee skillfully portrayed lack of determination in human history, arriving at plausible conclusions starkly different from the ones that were drawn in the real world.

Dialogues

"UK, don't shout; this isn't AIPPM!"
- Delegate of USA pacifies delegate of UK during an informal discussion.

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Chairperson: Akshat Mohan Awasthi

Vice Chairperson: Akhilesh Budhiraja

Scribe: Atul Bhat

Pankhuri Joshi, AIS Noida, XII J & Anoushka Jha, AIS Saket, XI E

Proceedings: BJP and RSS have a long history. Both parties are interdependent on each other, as RSS is practically the parent organisation of BJP. The relationship is like that of a branch and a root, with the Sangh being the root and organisations like the Bharatiya Jana Sangh, the Bharatiya Kisan Sangh, and Bharatiya Janata Party branching out from it. While this relationship is strong, yet there are differences between them. This meet urged the leaders to come together and gain consensus for the 2019 election manifesto.

The first day of the committee saw the delegates debating on issues like a dwindling economy, the problem of unemployment and RSS-BJP relations. However, the political planning for 2019 elections was what took up most of the debating time. The debate was so intense that it got extended even during the lunch hour.

Well researched arguments resonated through the session. Most delegates backed the stances of their respective parties. RSS, as on previous occasions, was against certain economic policies pushed by the Modi government like Foreign Direct Investment, handing over of Air India to an international organisation, and the promotion of 'cashless economy'. The following day, discussions

touched upon Naxalite issue, economic development, and even crypto currencies! This off-tracking was quickly corrected by the EB. The delegates picked up the pressing issues and passed strong statements on topics of conflict like the Uniform Civil Code¹, Ram Mandir, Urban Naxalites and Kashmir. There were discussions about possible solutions for the 2019 elections, and the party members seemed aptly focused on the vote bank as they explored their options.

On the final day, an extensive press release about the election manifesto was released. The committee ended with a crisis about LGBTQ and transgender rights, which led to an intense debate between the delegates representing Narendra Modi and Amit Shah. This crisis, however, highlighted the humanitarian side of many high-profile politicians as they conceded with the rights of the LGBTQ community.

The committee reflected the actual BJP-RSS relationship through disagreements and debates. The delegates took back a lot from each other and the EB. In the end, the conference was successful, left the minds of our future politicians with new information and experiences.

Dialogues

"Mahodaya main zyada kuch toh nahi karta, bus kamal karta hum."
– Nitin Gadkari

Glossary

1. *Uniform civil code: Laws to govern people irrespective of religion, tribe or caste.*

Highlights

- 1. Unlike any other, typical Indian committees, this one was devoid of any unnecessary hostility or blow-ups.
- 2. Heavy handed Hindi dialogues, interspersed with shayari, brought much needed comic relief to the otherwise intense committee sessions which got extended even during the lunch hour.